



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/545,241	11/21/95	PADIA J	5117-P1-01-E

12M1/0617

WILLIAM J. SCHRAMM
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNARD & PERR, LLP
AND LEARMAN & MCCULLOCH
201 W. BIG BEAVER RD., SUITE 400
TROY MI 48084

EXAMINER
GRUMBLING, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1202

DATE MAILED: 06/17/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

08/545,241

Applicant(s)

Padia et al.

Examiner

Matthew V. Grumbling

Group Art Unit

1202



This application is abandoned in view of:

☒ applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on Oct 25, 1996.

☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.

☒ A proposed response was received on Dec 18, 1996, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.

(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC)).

☐ No response has been received.

☐ applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.

☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____.

☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ _____ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ _____.

☐ The issue fee has not been received.

☐ applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) were received on _____.

☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed _____ are not acceptable.

☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.

☐ the express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on _____.

☐ the letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

☐ the letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

☐ the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

☐ the reason(s) below:

Matthew V. Grumbling
MATTHEW V. GRUMBLING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/545,241

Applicant(s)

Padia et al.

Examiner

Matthew V. Grumbling

Group Art Unit
1202



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matthew V. Grumbling

(3) _____

(2) Elizabeth Anderson's Secretary

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 9, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner called to inquire as to whether any paper had been filed which was not already of record, to which a response from the Office might be due.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

MATTHEW V. GRUMBLING
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.